(c) Actual inflow of Foreign Direct Investment since 1991 is as under:—

Year	Amount (Rs. in Crores)
1991	351.40
1992	675.20
1993	1786.70
1994	2981.80
1995	6370.20
1996 (upto December)	8440.60
Total	20605.90

State-wise collaboration with foreign companies

1561. SHRI ISH DUTT YADAV:
SHRI RAM GOPAL YADAV:

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) the investments made in collaboration with foreign companies in each State during the last five years;

(b) whether it has been in accordance with any guidelines formulated by Government; and

(c) if so, the details of the guidelines?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): (a) A statement is attached *(See below)*.

(b) and (c) Foreign Direct Investment approvals in the past have been granted on the basis of Governments New Industrial Policy announced in July, 1991. However, to make it more transparent, the Government has recently issued guidelines which is attached as Annex-II. *[See Appendix 180, Annexure No. 40]*.

Statement

Statewise Report for Foreign Direct Investment Cases Approved by ALL SECTIONS For the period August 1991 to December 1996 (Prov).

STATE	Aug'91-Dec'96			
	Total	Tech (Rs. Crore)	Fin	Inves.
Andhra Pradesh	430	142	288	2425.32
Assam	10	6	4	1.50
Bihar	66	39	27	130.75
Gujarat	539	294	245	3478.18
Haryana	399	140	259	1154.19
Himachal Pradesh	70	48	22	329.68
Jammu and Kashmir	1	0	1	8.01
Karnataka	667	253	414	5164.98
Kerala	98	36	62	517.00
Madhya Pradesh	186	80	106	2797.70
Maharashtra	1325	511	814	12492.98
Meghalaya	1	0	1	2.50
Nagaland	1	1	0	0.00

Orissa	73	28	45	2737.19
Punjab	101	39	62	802.64
Rajasthan	188	65	123	567.67
Tamil Nadu	790	263	527	5413.74
Tripura	1	0	1	0.68
Uttar Pradesh	383	171	212	2432.13
West Bengal	263	89	174	5163.03
Andaman & Nicobar	5	0	5	0.98
Arunachal Pradesh	2	0	2	11.06
Chandigarh	14	2	12	72.46
Dadra & Nagar Haveli	47	31	16	69.83
Delhi	493	52	441	17216.98
Goa	68	33	35	282.39
Lakshadweep	1	0	1	0.50
Pondicherry	51	22	29	252.50
Daman & Diu	16	9	7	5.72
Others	3830	2110	1720	32056.37
	10119	4464	5655	95588.65

Criteria for declaring backward States

1562. SHRI W. ANGOU SINGH: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) the criteria for declaring the Sale as industrially backward State; and

(b) the names of States/Union Territores which are declared industrially backward in respect of industrial growth in the country?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): (a) and (b) At present an exercise to identify backward areas with the unit as a Taluka, District is in hand with the Finance Ministry. Identification of backward areas with State as the unit has not been undertaken. On the basis of various Committee reports, in the early 70s, the Planning Commission had undertaken a study to identify the backward areas with district as the unit. The following entire States/Union Territories were identified as backward.

States

1. Assam; 2. Himachal Pradesh; 3. Jammu & Kashmir; 4. Manipur; 5. Meghalaya; 6. Nagaland; 7. Sikkim; 8. Tripura; 9. Arunachal Pradesh; 10. Goa; 11. Mizoram.

Union Territores:

1. Andaman & Nicobar Islands; 2. Dadra & Nagar Haveli; 3. Daman & Diu; 4. Pondicherry; 5. Lakshadweep.

In view of the changed position, the present Study is being undertaken by the Ministry of Finance as indicated above.